UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED
OLU-SOLO LEON and JUAN CASTILLO-QUINTERO,	: : :	DOC #: DATE FILED: <u>06/28/2024</u>
Plaintiffs,	: :	ORDER
-V-	:	23-CV-10152 (JLC)
GROTTO RESTAURANT AND PIZZERIA, LLC, et al.,	: : :	
Defendants.	: : X	

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

Following a settlement conference with me in April 2024, the parties in this wage-and-hour case have consented to my jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) for purposes of reviewing their proposed settlement (Dkt. No. 29) and have now submitted a joint letter in support of settlement (Dkt. No. 34) along with their proposed settlement agreements (Dkt. Nos. 34-1, 34-2) for my approval under *Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015). In this case, plaintiffs alleged, *inter alia*, violations of the minimum wage and overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") as well as violations of New York Labor Law.

Courts generally recognize a "strong presumption in favor of finding a settlement fair" in cases like this one brought under the FLSA, as they are "not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is a two-plaintiff case brought against two separate entities and their respective principals, and thus there are two separate settlement agreements.

settlement." Souza v. 65 St. Marks Bistro, No. 15-CV-327 (JLC), 2015 WL 7271747, at \*4 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015) (citation omitted). Moreover, given Defendants' apparent financial situation (requiring a payment schedule as part of the settlement), the "potential difficulty in collecting damages militates in favor of finding a settlement reasonable." Lliguichuzhca v. Cinema 60, LLC, 948 F. Supp. 2d 362, 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).

Having carefully reviewed the joint letter in support of settlement as well as the proposed settlement agreements, the Court finds that all of the terms of the proposed settlement (including the allocation of attorney's fees and costs) appear to be fair and reasonable under the totality of the circumstances and in light of the factors enumerated in *Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc.*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012), a case considered to be a touchstone in evaluating wage-and-hour settlements.<sup>2</sup> Among other things, the agreement, reached at a lengthy settlement conference before me, appears to be "the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel." *Id.* 

<sup>2</sup> Although there is not a proportionality requirement, attorney's fees in FLSA cases

29, 2023).

generally amount to a third of the settlement award, as is the case here. See, e.g., Manjarrez v. Bayard's Ale House LLC, No. 21-CV-1968 (OTW), 2022 WL 17363952, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 1, 2022) (citing Fisher v. SD Protection, Inc., 948 F.3d 593, 603 (2d Cir. 2020) (holding that the FLSA "simply provides for a reasonable attorney fee to be paid by the defendant"). See also Singh v. MDB Construction Mgmt., Inc., No. 16-CV-5216 (HBP), 2018 WL 2332071, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. May 23, 2018) (noting that one-third of settlement is "normal rate"). Notably, some courts have chosen not to compare the contingency payment to the actual hours expended by counsel, and there is much force to that approach as well. See, e.g., Puerto v. Happy Life Home Health Agency Inc., No. 23-CV-4915 (GWG), 2023 WL 8258103, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Nov.

Case 1:23-cv-10152-JLC Document 35 Filed 06/28/24 Page 3 of 3

Accordingly, the settlement is hereby approved.<sup>3</sup>

Consistent with the parties' stipulation and order of dismissal (Dkt. No. 34-3), the Court will retain jurisdiction over this case for purposes of enforcing the settlement terms. It will also sign and file the parties' stipulation and order of dismissal.

The Clerk is respectfully directed to close this case.

## SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 28, 2024

New York, New York

JAMES L. COTT United States Magistrate Judge

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Approval of the settlement agreement should not be deemed an approval of any tax allocations to which the parties have agreed.